How a Lawyer Challenges Evidence in a Delaware County Drug Case

Facing a drug charge in Delaware County means dealing with a complex legal process where the prosecution's case often depends on physical evidence - drugs, paraphernalia, lab reports, or witness statements. But evidence is not automatically admissible. A skilled defense attorney can challenge almost every piece of the state's case, sometimes getting key evidence thrown out before trial even begins.

Understanding how that works helps you see what a lawyer looks for when reviewing your case. The process follows a logical sequence, and each step gives the defense a chance to question the reliability, legality, or handling of the evidence.

Step 1: Scrutinizing the initial stop or search

The first thing a lawyer examines is whether the police had a lawful reason to stop you or search your property. If the stop was illegal, anything found afterward may be suppressed - meaning it cannot be used in court. This is called the "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine.

In a vehicle stop, the officer needs reasonable suspicion that a crime occurred or a traffic violation took place. A lawyer checks the police report for the stated reason. Was the stop based on a broken taillight? An alleged lane drift? Or was it a pretext - a minor traffic stop used as an excuse to look for drugs? If the stated reason does not hold up, the entire search may be invalid.

View the location on Google Maps

For a search of a home or apartment, the police generally need a warrant. The lawyer reviews the warrant carefully. Was it signed by a judge? Did the affidavit - the sworn statement supporting the warrant - include enough specific facts to establish probable cause? If the affidavit relied on vague tips or stale information, the warrant may be defective. A lawyer can file a suppression motion arguing the warrant lacked probable cause.

Step 2: Challenging the scope of the search

Even when a stop or search is lawful at the start, the police cannot exceed its scope. A lawyer looks at what the officers actually did during the search.

Law Office of Steven F. O'Meara is also on LinkedIn.

For a traffic stop, the officer may pat down the driver for weapons if there is reasonable suspicion the person is armed. But if that pat-down turns into a full search of pockets, bags, or

the vehicle's interior without consent or probable cause, the defense can argue the search went too far.

With a warrant, the search is limited to the places and items described in the warrant. If the warrant authorizes a search for stolen electronics, the police cannot rummage through a medicine cabinet looking for drugs. When officers go beyond the warrant's terms, anything they find may be challenged.

Consent is another area. If the police claim you agreed to a search, a lawyer asks whether that consent was truly voluntary. Was it given under pressure? Were you told you could refuse? Courts look at the totality of circumstances, including whether the officer used a commanding tone or implied that refusal was not an option.



Step 3: Examining the chain of custody

Once evidence is collected, it must be handled in a way that preserves its integrity. The chain of custody refers to the documented history of who had the evidence from the moment it was seized until it appears in court. If that chain has gaps or mistakes, the lawyer can argue the evidence may have been tampered with, contaminated, or swapped.

A typical chain of custody for drugs involves the arresting officer, a property clerk, a lab technician, and possibly a courier. Each transfer should be recorded with a signature, date, and time. A lawyer looks for missing entries, unexplained delays, or transfers to people not listed on the chain-of-custody form. Even a small gap can raise enough doubt to have the evidence excluded or at least weaken its weight at trial.

Step 4: Questioning the lab analysis

Drug cases rely on laboratory tests to confirm that a substance is a controlled substance. But lab work is not infallible. A lawyer reviews the lab report for errors or omissions.

Common issues include: the lab used an outdated testing method, the technician lacked proper certification, the sample was too small for reliable testing, or the report fails to state the quantity of the drug. In some cases, the defense may hire an independent expert to retest the substance. If the lab's procedures were sloppy or the results are ambiguous, the lawyer can argue the evidence is not reliable enough for conviction.

Another angle is whether the lab properly maintained its equipment. Gas chromatographs and mass spectrometers require regular calibration. If the lab cannot show it followed protocols, the test results may be contested.

Step 5: Investigating informants and cooperating witnesses

Many drug arrests stem from tips provided by confidential informants. These informants often have their own motives - they may be facing charges themselves and cooperating to get a lighter sentence. A lawyer digs into the informant's background and credibility.

Questions a lawyer asks: Has this informant given reliable tips before? Is there any record of the informant lying? Was the informant paid or promised a deal for the information? Did the police corroborate the tip before acting on it? If the informant is unreliable or the police relied on an uncorroborated tip, the whole case may rest on shaky ground.

Cooperating witnesses who testify in exchange for leniency also face credibility issues. The lawyer can cross-examine them about their agreement with the prosecution and whether they were coached or pressured into giving certain testimony.

Step 6: Filing a suppression motion

If the lawyer finds a legal problem with how the evidence was obtained or handled, the next step is filing a motion to suppress. This is a pre-trial request asking the judge to exclude specific evidence from the trial. If the judge grants the motion, the prosecution cannot use that evidence at all.

The motion lays out the legal basis - illegal stop, invalid warrant, broken chain of custody, or faulty lab work - and asks for a hearing. At the hearing, the defense can call witnesses, cross-examine police officers, and present arguments. The burden of proof depends on the issue. For a warrantless search, the prosecution must show the search was lawful. For a warrant, the defense must show it was defective.

A successful suppression motion can gut the prosecution's case. Without the drugs, the lab report, or the witness testimony, the state may have no choice but to drop the charges or offer a much better plea deal.

Anyone facing a drug charge needs to act quickly. The process of challenging evidence starts immediately - with preserving evidence, filing motions, and interviewing witnesses. This is where legal help after a drug arrest becomes critical. An experienced attorney knows the deadlines, the local court procedures, and the specific judges in Delaware County. Without that knowledge, you could lose the chance to challenge key evidence before trial.



Even after a motion is denied, the issues raised can be preserved for appeal. A lawyer builds a record during the suppression hearing that can be used later if the case goes to the appellate level. So the work done at this stage matters far beyond the trial itself.

What to watch for in your own case

If you are facing a drug charge, keep these points in mind when speaking with a lawyer:

- Exactly what did the police say before searching? Write down every word you remember.
- Did you sign a consent form? If not, can you recall whether you agreed verbally?

- How long did the stop or search last? Extended stops without probable cause can be challenged.
- Were other people present? Witnesses may help support your version of events.

These details help your lawyer assess whether the evidence can be challenged. Even small inconsistencies can make a difference.

Drug cases are often won or lost on evidence issues long before a jury hears a single fact. The prosecution has the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, but that burden only matters if the evidence gets in. A good defense lawyer knows how to block flawed evidence and force the state to prove its case with clean, reliable proof.

If you are looking for **legal help after a drug arrest**, contact a criminal defense attorney who handles these issues regularly. The Law Office of Steven F. O'Meara, located at 14 S Orange St, Media, PA 19063, USA, can be reached at +1 610-557-3907 for a consultation about your case.