Clients rarely start a meeting by saying, “I want an irrevocable trust.”

They say things like, “I do not want the nursing home to take my house,” or “I want to leave the house to my kids without a mess,” or “How do I avoid probate and taxes?”

An irrevocable trust can help with some of those goals, and it is completely wrong for others. The hard part is that once you move your house into a truly irrevocable trust, unwinding that decision is difficult, expensive, or impossible.

What follows reflects how I walk real families through this choice, including the trade-offs that usually do not make it into glossy brochures.

What you are actually trying to solve

Before anyone signs a trust, I ask them to translate their worries into plain language. Most homeowners considering an irrevocable trust are really asking some version of these questions:

- Is it better to leave a house in a will or trust if I want to avoid probate and family fights?
- Can a nursing home take your house if it is in a trust, and how do I deal with the Medicaid 5 year lookback?
- How much can you inherit from your parents without paying taxes, and do I need tax planning or just simple documents?
- What is the best way to leave your house to your children and still sleep at night while you own it?

Those are practical questions about control, risk, and family dynamics. An irrevocable trust is only a tool. It is the wrong tool if it does not match those goals.

Revocable trust, irrevocable trust, or will: which is the better home for your house?

Clients often start with this blunt question: Is it better to leave a house in a will or trust? The honest answer is, “It depends what problem you are solving.”

A will is a set of instructions to the probate court. If the house passes under your will, your executor will likely need to open a probate. In some states, probate is relatively quick and inexpensive. In others, it takes months and requires a lot of paper, legal fees, and patience from your family. The house can still end up where you want it to go, but it is not private, and transfers can be delayed.

A revocable living trust is a popular middle path. You transfer the house to your revocable trust while you are alive, but you keep control. You can change the trust, refinance, sell the house, and move. At your death, the successor trustee can distribute or sell the house without probate, as long as the deed was properly retitled into the trust. For many middle class families, this is the best way to leave your house to your children if your main priorities are privacy, efficiency, and avoiding court.

An irrevocable trust sits in a different category. Once you deed the house into a truly irrevocable trust, you generally cannot take it back, change the main terms, or treat it as your personal piggy bank. That loss of control is not a small thing. You only give up that power when you are getting something significant in return, such as asset protection for Medicaid or long term care planning, or tax benefits at a wealth level where estate taxes are a real issue.

So, for many people:

- A will alone is enough if your estate is small and probate is simple in your state.
- A revocable trust often makes sense if you want to avoid probate and keep flexibility.
- An irrevocable trust is a niche tool, useful when you are trading control for protection or tax results.

What is an irrevocable trust for your house, practically speaking?

On paper, an irrevocable trust is a legal entity you create during your lifetime. You sign a trust agreement that names:

- A trustee, who will manage the trust and the house.
- Beneficiaries, usually your children or other family members.
- The rules on who can live in the house, who pays expenses, and what happens when you die.

You then deed your house into the name of the trust. From that point, you do not own the house in your individual name. The trust owns it.

That is the legal structure. The human reality is more nuanced. I often ask clients, "Can you sleep at night knowing a different person, even if it is someone you trust, technically controls the roof over your head?" For some, the answer is yes, if the trust is drafted carefully. For others, that loss of ownership feels intolerable, and an irrevocable trust is simply not a fit.

Good drafting can ***Comprehensive Estate Planning Attorney Near Me*** reserve some important rights, such as the right to live in the house for life or to require the trustee to maintain it. But if we give you too much retained control, we may lose the very Medicaid or asset protection benefits that made you consider the trust in the first place. It is a balancing act.

Medicaid, nursing homes, and the 5 year rule for irrevocable trusts

Most people who mention an irrevocable trust bring up nursing homes within the first ten minutes. The core fear is simple: "If I go into a facility, will Medicaid force the sale of my house?"

The mechanics matter. Medicaid is means tested. If you apply for long term care coverage, the agency looks at your assets and your past transfers. The famous Medicaid 5 year lookback is the period in which Medicaid reviews gifts and transfers for less than fair market value. If you transferred your house to an irrevocable trust during that lookback period, Medicaid can treat it as a disqualifying transfer and impose a penalty period where you are ineligible for benefits.

That is where timing becomes everything. When people ask, "How to avoid Medicaid 5 year lookback issues if I put my house in a trust?" the practical answer is: you cannot avoid the rule, but you can get the clock started early. If you transfer the house into a properly drafted irrevocable trust and then live at least five years, in many states that house is treated as a noncountable resource for Medicaid eligibility purposes.

You may also hear about the "Medicaid loophole" or tricks to hide assets. That language is misleading. There is no magical loophole that lets you transfer property the month before you apply and keep full benefits. There are lawful planning strategies built around clear rules, primarily the 5 year rule for irrevocable trusts and other transfers. Anything marketed as a way to "beat the system" at the last minute is usually an invitation to fraud or a denial of benefits.

As for the question, “Can a nursing home take your house if it is in a trust?” the better way to frame it is: could Medicaid treat the house as available or claw back transfers, directly or through estate recovery? If the trust is poorly drafted, funded too late, or leaves you with too much retained control, then yes, your house could still be vulnerable. A carefully structured trust that beats the lookback period can provide significant protection, but it is not a guarantee against all possible claims, especially if laws change.

The 7 year rule for trusts: why you hear different numbers

Some clients mention a 7 year rule for trusts. That usually comes from conversations with friends or relatives in the United Kingdom, where certain inheritance tax and care cost rules use a 7 year period for gifts. In the United States, the commonly cited Medicaid lookback period is 5 years in most states, not 7.

However, there are other timing rules that can affect trusts, especially around tax planning. That is where precision matters. When someone mentions “the 5 by 5 rule in estate planning” or the “5 by 5 power,” they are referring to a tax concept that allows a beneficiary to withdraw the greater of 5,000 dollars or 5 percent of the trust principal each year without triggering certain gift tax consequences. That kind of clause sometimes appears in irrevocable trusts that are focused on tax strategy, not in the basic “protect the house from nursing home costs” variety.

The short answer is that both 5 year and 7 year rules exist in the trust and estate world, but for U.S. Homeowners asking about long term care, the key number is usually five.

Taxes, step-up in basis, and how much you can inherit

Many people worry that an irrevocable trust will cause their children to pay more taxes. The reality is more nuanced.

From a federal estate tax perspective, only a small percentage of estates actually pay estate tax because the exemption is high, measured in the millions of dollars per person. When clients ask, “How much can you inherit from your parents without paying taxes?” they are usually thinking about income tax rather than estate tax. Inheritances are generally not treated as income for the recipient. The real tax issue for a house is capital gains when the children eventually sell it.

If you own the house personally at death, your children usually receive a step-up in basis to the value on your date of death. That can dramatically reduce or eliminate capital gains tax if they sell shortly afterward. Some irrevocable trust designs preserve that step-up, because the house is still included in your taxable estate for income tax purposes, even though it may be protected for Medicaid or creditor reasons. Others do not. That is one of the quiet but important downsides of putting your house in an irrevocable trust that is drafted too aggressively: you might save on nursing home exposure but create substantial capital gains for your heirs.

There are also annual gift tax rules and lifetime exemptions that interact with trusts. For many middle class families, the more pressing question is not “Will I owe estate tax?” but “Will this structure create surprise income taxes or property tax issues?” That is a question a local attorney who understands your state’s homestead and property tax rules needs to analyze, because those rules vary sharply.

The real downsides of putting your house in an irrevocable trust

When people search, “What is the downside of putting your house in an irrevocable trust?” they usually get a generic list. In practice, the most painful downsides I see come in a few recurring patterns.

The first is loss of flexibility. You might decide to downsize, move closer to a child, or relocate to another state. If the house sits in an irrevocable trust, that move now requires the trustee's cooperation and careful handling of sale proceeds. If the trust is not drafted to allow replacement property or flexibility, you can trap value in a way that complicates your life.

The second is financing. Once a house is in a strict irrevocable trust, obtaining a home equity line of credit or refinancing can be difficult or impossible. Some lenders simply do not lend to irrevocable trusts. Others require elaborate documentation. That may not matter to someone who owns the home free and clear and never plans to borrow again, but for others it is a real constraint.

The third is family dynamics. Naming one child as trustee over the house that others are supposed to inherit can sow resentment, especially if the trustee has discretion to sell, rent, or make repairs. A poorly chosen trustee can turn the house into a battleground.

Finally, law and policy change. A trust created today for Medicaid planning may not produce the same results fifteen years from now if federal or state legislatures revise eligibility or recovery rules. No one can fully future proof a plan. This is one reason I tell clients that irrevocable trusts should be used only when the benefits are clear and compelling.

The "only three reasons" you should have an irrevocable trust

Among estate planners, you will sometimes hear a practical rule of thumb: there are only three reasons you should have an irrevocable trust. The wording varies by attorney, but the themes usually match my own:

1. You want to protect assets from long term care costs or other future creditors in a way that complies with Medicaid and state law, and you are willing to give up control now to protect your house later.
2. You have a taxable estate where federal or state estate tax is a genuine concern, so you are using irrevocable trusts for sophisticated tax planning, business succession, or life insurance ownership.
3. You are making gifts for a beneficiary who needs structure, such as a child with special needs or serious financial or addiction issues, where you do not want them to receive property outright.

If your goals fall outside those categories, a revocable trust or even a well drafted will might serve you better, with fewer trade-offs.

Comprehensive estate planning: your house is only one piece

Clients sometimes ask, "What is comprehensive estate planning and why is my attorney asking about my bank accounts and life insurance when I only want to talk about the house?"

The answer is that comprehensive planning looks at all of your assets, how they are titled, and how they pass at death. Your house is usually the largest asset, but bank accounts, retirement plans, and beneficiary designations often move more money and cause more trouble.

A few points ***Comprehensive Estate Planning Attorney Near Me*** tend to surprise people:

Certain bank accounts can avoid probate entirely if set up with the right designations. When people ask, "Which bank accounts avoid probate?" I explain that accounts with payable on death (POD) or transfer on death (TOD) beneficiaries, as well as properly titled joint accounts, usually pass by contract rather than through the will. That is good for efficiency, but it can wreck your intended distribution if you forget to coordinate those designations with your will or trust.

Some assets should not be included in a will in the sense of relying on the will to control them. Retirement accounts like IRAs and 401(k)s, life insurance, and many brokerage accounts pass by beneficiary designation. You can mention them in your will, but if the beneficiary form says something different, the form usually wins.

The most common inheritance mistake I see is simple: people never update beneficiaries after a divorce, a death, or a rift in the family. A close second is naming a minor child outright on life insurance or accounts, which then forces a court guardianship that could have been avoided with a trust designation.

Which leads to a related question many people hesitate to ask aloud: "Who should I not name as a beneficiary?" In broad strokes, you should be cautious about naming:

- Minors, since they cannot legally manage funds.
- Anyone receiving means tested government benefits, such as certain disability programs, where an outright gift could disqualify them.
- Individuals with addiction or severe financial problems, who might burn through an inheritance quickly.
- People you do not actually trust to honor informal promises, such as "I will split this with my siblings."

A comprehensive plan coordinates wills, trusts, beneficiary designations, and titling so that everything points in the same direction, rather than pulling against itself.

The cost of working with an estate planning attorney

People often wait to call a lawyer because they assume the cost will be crushing. So they ask online first: "How much does it cost to have an estate planning attorney?"

Fees vary widely by region, complexity, and the attorney's experience. For context, in many communities:

- A basic will package might run from a few hundred dollars to around 1,500 dollars.
- A revocable trust based plan often lands somewhere between 2,000 and 4,000 dollars for a couple, sometimes more in expensive urban markets.
- A customized irrevocable trust designed for Medicaid or tax planning can range from roughly 3,000 to 7,500 dollars or more, depending on the layers of planning involved.

These are broad ranges, not quotes, but they give you an idea of scale. Good lawyers should be transparent about fees up front. If you are considering an irrevocable trust just to “save money” compared to more traditional planning, the math rarely works. You choose an irrevocable trust because of the protection or tax effect, not because it is cheaper.

Gifting, kids, and the best way to leave your house to your children

Parents often float two related ideas: “Should I just sign the house over to the kids now?” and “What is the best way to gift money to an adult child?” The instinct is generous. The execution can be dangerous.

Outright gifts of the house during your lifetime can create problems. You may lose your property tax exemptions, create capital gains issues for your children by giving them your low basis, and expose the house to your children’s creditors, divorces, and mistakes. It can also complicate Medicaid if done within the lookback period.

Using a trust, revocable or irrevocable, gives you more control. If your main goal is simply to avoid probate and make eventual transfer easy, a revocable trust holding the house, combined with updated beneficiary designations on financial accounts, is often the cleanest path.

When it comes to cash, the best way to gift money to an adult child depends on their situation. For a responsible child, an outright gift under the annual exclusion amount may be perfectly fine. For a child with challenges, a trust that releases funds over time or for specific purposes can protect them from themselves and from creditors. Either way, you need to consider whether your own long term care and retirement are fully funded before you start transferring significant assets.

A quick gut check: does an irrevocable trust for your house fit you?

If you are genuinely unsure whether to proceed, it sometimes helps to walk through a short reality check.

- You are comfortable giving up direct control over the house today in exchange for possible Medicaid or creditor protection in the future.
- You are reasonably healthy but at an age where starting the 5 year Medicaid lookback clock now feels prudent, not premature.
- Your state’s Medicaid and property tax rules support the trust structure your lawyer proposes, and you have discussed step-up in basis and tax trade-offs.
- Your family dynamics allow you to pick a trustee who is both trustworthy and capable, with a low risk of conflict.
- You accept that laws may change, and you are using the trust as one sound tool, not a magical shield.

If several of those statements feel wrong, an irrevocable trust may not be your best first step.

Questions to ask your attorney before you sign

Before you commit, sit with an experienced estate planning attorney and walk through specifics. A focused set of questions will lead to a much better decision.

- Exactly how will this trust affect my ability to sell or refinance the house while I am alive?
- How does this structure interact with the Medicaid 5 year lookback and any state specific rules on estate recovery?
- Will my children receive a step-up in basis if they inherit the house from this trust, and what might their capital gains exposure be?
- What happens if my chosen trustee becomes incapacitated, refuses to serve, or causes conflict among my beneficiaries?
- Are there simpler options, such as a revocable trust or beneficiary deed, that would accomplish most of my goals with fewer trade-offs?

If your attorney cannot answer those questions plainly, keep asking or consider a second opinion.

Pulling the pieces together

An irrevocable trust that holds your house is not a casual document. It can protect the roof over your head from long term care costs, preserve value for your children, and create tax efficiencies in the right circumstances. It can also lock you into a structure that limits flexibility, complicates family relationships, and produces unpleasant surprises if done poorly or for the wrong reasons.

Used thoughtfully, it is a powerful tool. Used reactively, especially late in life when health is already failing and the Medicaid 5 year rule is looming, it often disappoints.

The most useful step you can take is not filling out an online form. It is sitting down with someone who can see your whole picture: your house, your bank accounts, your beneficiaries, your health, and your state’s law. From there, the question is no longer “Should you put your house in an irrevocable trust?” but “Given your life, your risks, and your priorities, is this particular irrevocable trust the right trade for you?”

Parker Law Offices

28202 Cabot Rd 3rd Floor, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

9493853130