




·cgh·
cheney galluzzi & howard

A broken bone after a bicycle crash can change the shape of an ordinary week in a matter of seconds. One moment you are riding through an intersection, keeping pace with traffic and watching for a turning car. The next, you are in an ambulance with a fractured wrist, a broken collarbone, or a leg injury that will keep you off the bike for months. For many riders, the physical pain is only the start. Medical bills arrive quickly. Work becomes difficult or impossible. A straightforward insurance claim often turns into a fight over fault, treatment, and money.

That is where a Bicycle Accident Lawyer often makes a real difference, especially when the injury involves a fracture. Broken bones tend to look simple from the outside. Insurance carriers know better. They understand that fracture cases can become expensive because they often involve surgery, hardware, physical therapy, reduced mobility, time away from work, and lingering pain long after the cast comes off. They also know many injured cyclists do not fully appreciate the value of their claim in the first few weeks.

A strong settlement in a broken bone case usually has less to do with dramatic arguments and more to do with disciplined preparation. The details matter. Which bone broke. Whether it was displaced. Whether surgery was required. Whether the rider can return to the same job. Whether the shoulder still clicks when lifting groceries three months later. Whether the helmet was cracked, the bike was destroyed, and the emergency room records accurately described the force of impact. Small facts often move big numbers.

Why broken bone bicycle claims are more complicated than they look

People often assume a fracture case is straightforward because an X ray confirms the injury. Liability should be easy, damages should be obvious, and the insurer should pay. In practice, these cases develop friction in several predictable places.

The first problem is that not all fractures heal neatly. A non displaced wrist fracture treated with a brace is a very different claim from a comminuted tibia fracture requiring rods and screws. The second problem is that cyclists frequently suffer multiple injuries in the same crash. A person may focus on the broken arm while underestimating the concussion, road rash, knee trauma, or back strain that complicates recovery. The third problem is fault. Motorists and insurers often try to push blame onto the rider by arguing lane position, visibility, speed, traffic control compliance, or helmet use, even when those issues have little to do with the crash.

I have seen claims where a rider with a fractured clavicle was offered a modest settlement within two weeks of the collision, before the orthopedic follow up even took place. The insurer framed it as efficient and fair. It was neither. The rider later learned surgery might be necessary because the bone fragments had shifted. Once a claim is settled, that later development usually belongs to the injured person, not the insurance company.

Broken bone cases also carry a practical challenge that lawyers understand well. These injuries often improve gradually, which gives insurers room to minimize them. They may say, in effect, you broke a bone, the bone healed, so the case is worth only the medical bills and a little extra. That approach ignores the actual experience of recovery. A fractured elbow can make bathing, cooking, driving, and sleeping difficult for weeks. A broken ankle can trap a self employed worker at home during the busiest part of the year. A hand fracture can derail a mechanic, dental hygienist, nurse, or electrician because fine motor function matters in those jobs.

The fractures that appear most often after bicycle collisions

Certain bones break again and again in bike crashes because of the way riders fall and the way cars strike bicycles. The collarbone is common. Riders thrown over the handlebars or knocked sideways often land on the shoulder. Wrists and forearms are also frequent because people instinctively extend an arm to brace the fall. Facial fractures happen when the rider hits pavement, a vehicle, or a fixed object. Hip and pelvic fractures appear in higher impact crashes, particularly when a vehicle broadside hits the cyclist. Leg fractures, including femur,

tibia, and fibula injuries, can be severe because the lower body is exposed beside moving traffic and metal components.

The legal importance of these injuries goes beyond diagnosis. A clavicle fracture in an office worker may produce a different wage loss picture than the same fracture in a union carpenter. A broken wrist may look routine on paper, yet become a serious loss for a violinist, graphic designer, surgeon, or massage therapist. A bicycle accident lawyer who understands settlements does not just collect records and forward them. The lawyer translates the medical facts into the daily and economic consequences that make a claim legible and persuasive.

How a Bicycle Accident Lawyer builds the value of a fracture claim

Settlement value does not come from a formula. It comes from evidence arranged in a way that shows responsibility, injury severity, and the true cost of recovery. Lawyers who handle bicycle cases well usually focus on several tracks at once.

First, they lock down liability evidence early. Skid marks fade, surveillance footage gets overwritten, and witnesses become hard to find. If a driver turned left across a cyclist's path, opened a door into a bike lane, drifted into the shoulder, or failed to yield at a crosswalk or stop sign, those facts should be preserved immediately. Photos of the scene, bike damage, clothing, helmet impact, and roadway markings matter more than many people realize.

Second, they organize the medical story in chronological order. Emergency records, imaging reports, orthopedic notes, operative reports, physical therapy records, and work restrictions need to align. If there are gaps, the insurance carrier will exploit them. If the rider missed therapy because transportation was difficult or the copay was too high, that should be explained rather than left hanging.

Third, they document the parts of recovery that do not always appear in a chart. Doctors chart symptoms and treatment. They do not usually describe *Bicycle Accident Lawyer* how the client had to sleep in a recliner for three weeks, could not lift a toddler, or had to pay neighbors to mow the lawn because a leg fracture made stairs dangerous. Those facts support pain and suffering, but they also demonstrate functional loss in a concrete way.

Fourth, they avoid settling too early. That does not mean every case must wait until complete recovery. It means the lawyer needs enough information to estimate whether the injury will leave lasting problems, future treatment needs, or hardware related complications.

A useful early checklist often looks like this:

1. Preserve photos of injuries, the bicycle, the helmet, the crash scene, and the vehicle involved if available.
2. Report all symptoms to medical providers, not just the most obvious fracture pain.
3. Follow orthopedic and therapy recommendations as consistently as practical.
4. Keep proof of missed work, reduced hours, and out of pocket costs.
5. Do not give a recorded statement to the other insurer without legal advice.

Those steps are simple, but they help prevent avoidable damage to a claim.

What insurers look for when they try to reduce a broken bone settlement

Insurance adjusters are trained to spot leverage points. Some are legitimate, some are overused, and some border on gamesmanship. A Bicycle Accident Lawyer sees the same patterns repeatedly.

One common move is to argue that the fracture healed well and therefore the pain period was short. The records may show “routine healing,” yet routine healing does not mean easy healing. A person can have six miserable weeks in a cast, twelve more weeks of weakness, and months of reduced activity even with a medically routine outcome.

Another move is to isolate the fracture from the rest of the crash. An insurer may value only the broken wrist while downplaying the shoulder strain, abrasions, dental damage, or head injury from the same incident. That selective reading can shrink a claim dramatically.

A third move is comparative fault. Even when the motorist clearly caused the collision, the insurer may claim the cyclist was hard to see, rode too fast, failed to signal, wore dark clothing, or was outside the bike lane. Some of those arguments fail under close scrutiny, but they can still pressure unrepresented riders into accepting a discount.

Then there is the issue of preexisting conditions. If an older rider already had arthritis, a prior shoulder problem, or a healed fracture from years ago, the carrier may say the current pain is not from the crash. Sometimes that argument has some basis. Often it ignores a legal reality: if the crash aggravated a preexisting condition, that aggravation can still be compensable.

The strongest response is detailed evidence. Vague claims invite low offers. Specific records, timely imaging, clear physician opinions, and well documented limitations make it harder for the carrier to pretend the injury was minor.

What goes into a broken bone bicycle settlement

Settlement discussions generally revolve around damages, but damages are not a single number pulled from a chart. They are a collection of losses, some easy to total and others more subjective.

The measurable side usually includes emergency transport, hospital charges, radiology, orthopedic care, surgery, anesthesia, medication, physical or occupational therapy, follow up visits, and future treatment if reasonably likely. Lost income can be straightforward for a salaried employee with payroll records. It gets trickier for gig workers, seasonal employees, tipped workers, and the self employed, whose earnings may fluctuate or require more careful proof.

The less visible side often drives the negotiation. Pain matters. So does loss of mobility, interrupted sleep, inability to exercise, dependence on family members, embarrassment from visible scarring or braces, and the frustration of losing independence. If the rider was an avid cyclist who commuted daily, trained on weekends, or used the bicycle as a primary mode of transportation, the disruption may be deeper than it first appears.

These factors often shape value in meaningful ways:

- the type of fracture, including whether it was displaced, open, comminuted, or involved joint surfaces
- whether surgery, hardware, bone grafting, or future hardware removal is likely
- the length of recovery and whether the rider reached full function or plateaued with restrictions
- the effect on earnings, household duties, childcare, and day to day independence
- the strength of liability evidence and any realistic comparative fault argument

Those are not abstract categories. They show why two “broken arm” cases can settle far apart from each other. A simple radial fracture with six weeks of bracing and a clean return to normal life will generally value differently from a fracture requiring surgery, prolonged therapy, and persistent grip weakness.

Timing matters more than most injured riders expect

A common question is when to settle. The practical answer is usually not until the medical picture is stable enough to value responsibly. Stable does not always mean perfect or fully healed. It means there is enough information to understand the likely path forward.

For example, if a rider fractured an ankle and underwent surgery, it may be unwise to settle before weight bearing begins and the surgeon evaluates alignment, pain, and function over time. If a clavicle fracture is being monitored to see whether surgery can be avoided, a fast settlement can become a costly mistake. If the wrist still lacks range of motion after therapy, the lawyer may want updated records before making a serious demand.

This patience is not about dragging out a claim for theater. It is about avoiding blind spots. Settling too early benefits the insurer because uncertainty is usually discounted. Waiting until the injury picture sharpens often leads to a more accurate number.

That said, waiting forever is not wise either. Evidence can go stale, statutes of limitation exist, and some clients need resolution. Good lawyering involves judgment about when the record is mature enough to negotiate from strength.

What happens when the bike rider shares some fault

Many bicycle crashes live in the gray area. Perhaps the driver turned without yielding, but the cyclist was traveling faster than expected. Perhaps the rider rolled a stop sign, but the motorist was texting and failed to brake. In shared fault cases, settlement value turns on state law and the quality of the evidence.

A capable Bicycle Accident Lawyer does not panic when fault is disputed. Instead, the lawyer narrows the issue. What traffic rule mattered most. Who had the better opportunity to avoid the crash. What the physical evidence shows. Whether a driver statement changed over time. Whether video supports the rider. Whether the alleged cyclist mistake actually caused the collision or is just post crash criticism.

I once reviewed a file where an insurer insisted the cyclist’s dark jacket caused the crash. The collision happened in broad daylight at a controlled intersection. The real issue was that the driver made a hurried right turn across the bike lane without checking the mirror. Visibility became a distraction argument, not a causation argument. Those distinctions matter in negotiation and, if needed, litigation.

The role of medical records, and why accuracy beats drama

Clients sometimes believe the best claim is the one with the most dramatic language. In reality, credibility usually wins. Medical records should be accurate, complete, and consistent. If pain is a six out of ten, say six. If sleep is disrupted three nights a week, say that. If a limp improved but has not disappeared, report that too.

Overstatement can backfire. So can understatement. Cyclists are often tough and eager to get back on the road. They may tell the doctor **Bicycle Accident Lawyer** they are “fine” because they mean they are surviving, not because they are symptom free. That word can later show up in a claim file as evidence of full recovery. Precision matters.

Lawyers often help by encouraging clients to keep a short recovery journal. Not pages of melodrama, just practical notes. Could you drive today. Did the cast prevent typing. How many therapy sessions did you attend. Did your child have to help you button a shirt. Those details become useful months later when memories blur.

When settlement is not the right immediate answer

Most injury claims resolve without trial, but not all should settle quickly. Some cases need a lawsuit because the insurer denies fault, refuses to recognize the seriousness of the fracture, or makes an offer that does not reflect the evidence. Filing suit does not mean a trial is guaranteed. It often means the case enters a more formal process where documents are exchanged, depositions are taken, and the carrier must evaluate the claim with greater seriousness.

Litigation can be especially important when the injury has a lasting impact on earning capacity or future treatment. A young rider with a surgically repaired leg fracture and a physically demanding career may face consequences that extend well beyond the first year. If the insurer keeps pretending the claim is just a stack of medical bills, a lawsuit may be the only practical way to move the valuation.

There are trade offs. Litigation takes time, can be intrusive, and carries risk. A seasoned lawyer should be honest about that. But there are also risks in accepting a weak settlement simply to be done.

Choosing legal help after a bicycle crash with fractures

Not every personal injury lawyer understands bicycle cases. That matters. Bike crashes involve different visibility issues, roadway dynamics, injury patterns, and sometimes a cultural bias that assumes the cyclist created the danger. A lawyer who regularly handles these claims will know how to address those themes instead of stumbling into them.

Look for someone who understands both the medicine and the mechanics of bicycle collisions. The lawyer should ask about frame damage, helmet impact, bike lane design, road surface conditions, and the exact movement of rider and vehicle before impact. They should also understand orthopedic recovery well enough to see why a “healed fracture” does not automatically mean a healed life.

Good representation also feels practical. You should get straight answers about timing, medical documentation, insurance limits, possible comparative fault, and what could increase or decrease the settlement range. If the lawyer makes promises that sound too polished or too certain in the first meeting, caution is warranted. Broken bone claims are often valuable, but honest lawyers know outcomes depend on facts, jurisdiction, insurance coverage, and how the recovery unfolds.

The real aim of a fracture settlement

A settlement cannot rewind a crash. It cannot give back a missed season of riding, erase the fear of returning to traffic, or make a child forget seeing a parent in a sling. What it can do is shift the financial burden away from the injured cyclist and onto the party who caused the harm, where it belongs.

That is the practical value of involving a Bicycle Accident Lawyer in a broken bone case. The lawyer gathers the evidence before it disappears, frames the injury with accuracy, protects the claim from early undervaluation, and pushes for a number that reflects the whole loss, not just the first emergency room bill. When done well, legal help turns a chaotic aftermath into a documented, defensible claim with real negotiating power.

For riders dealing with fractures, that support is not a luxury. It is often the difference between a quick payout that looks decent for a month and a settlement that actually covers the cost of getting life back on track.

CGH Injury Lawyers
2701 Lawrence St Suite 201
Denver, CO 80205, United States
Phone: +1 720-669-8062

FAQ About Bicycle Accident Lawyer

Who is at fault if a car hits a bicycle?

Fault depends on the evidence and the traffic rules that applied to the collision. A driver, cyclist, or another party may share responsibility. Police reports, witness accounts, video, and the physical evidence can help establish what happened. A collision with a car does not automatically determine legal fault.

Can you sue someone for hitting you on a bike?

You may have a claim when another person's negligent conduct causes your injuries and losses. The available evidence, applicable deadlines, insurance coverage, and any shared fault affect your options. A lawyer can review the circumstances and explain whether an insurance claim or lawsuit is appropriate; compensation is not guaranteed.

What percentage does an injury lawyer usually take?

There is no single percentage that applies to every injury case. Many lawyers use a contingency fee based on a share of the recovery, with the terms stated in a written agreement. Ask how the percentage is calculated, whether it changes during litigation, and how case expenses are handled before signing.